

Ser. No. 10/605,321
Art Unit: 2835

7

Printed 8/23/2005

REMARKS

Under 35 USC § 102(e), claim 1 was rejected as anticipated by; claims 6-12 were rejected as anticipated by Smith (US Pub. No. 2004/0136126 A1). Claims 1920 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as obvious over Smith in view of Lin et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0201457 A1).

Claims 12-18 were allowed. Claims 2-11 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10

The limitations of base claim 1 are being incorporated into allowable claim 2. Claims 1 and 19-20 are being canceled.

Second IDS of 12/29/03 Not Yet Considered


Applicant submitted a second IDS with a non-patent reference on 12/29/03. The attached "Auto-reply Facsimile Transmission" shows receipt by the PTO. Examiner is requested to review this reference and initial the PTO-1440 form. A full copy of this IDS and cited reference is being submitted for the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the above, it is submitted that claims 2-18, as amended, are in a position for allowance. This application was filed with formal drawings that have not been amended. Applicant believes that a full and complete response to the office action has been made. Allowance of the claims at an early date is solicited.

Stuart T. Auvinen
429 26th Avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

(831) 476-5506
(831) 477-0703 Fax

Respectfully Submitted,



Stuart T. Auvinen
Agent for Applicant
Reg. No. 36,435

25